UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,828	04/04/2007	Seng Teck Law	06-501	4238
	7590 03/10/201 ¹ LAPOINTE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
900 CHAPEL STREET SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3741	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/588,828	LAW, SENG TECK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARGUERITE J. MCMAHON	3741	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/3/ 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This action is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 29-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 29-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a composed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/9/06. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 18-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/3/10.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show aperture 23, lug 2 (page 5 of spec), as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are

not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "13" has been used to designate both lug and aperture. Similarly "73" has been used to designate both planar upper faces and channel. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for providing a "core" with a channel and a wire running through, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of energizing

hydrogen or a hydrogen compound used in a fuel burning or processing engine or machine. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Note that there is no connection made between the "core" and hydrogen or hydrogen compound, i.e. does the hydrogen/compound come into contact with the "core" and if so how does it come into contact?

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims do not provide a method for energizing hydrogen or a hydrogen compound used in a fuel burning engine or machine. The claims explain that a "core" is employed with a channel and a wire running through it but do not provide any information about hydrogen or a hydrogen compound and how the "core" causes an effect on the hydrogen/hydrogen compound. In addition, claim 30 is vague because it claims an "accessory" but does not explain what it is an accessory to, and it is unclear if the "core" claimed is the same core as in claim 29 or a new core. In addition, the use of the word core is unclear, since core usually means the center of something, but there is no mention of any element for which the "core" provides a center.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29-31, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imai et al (4,327,702). Note a core 123,124, which comprises ferrite, a ferri-magnetic material, a high tension lead 125 wrapped about the core, the core and the high tension lead retained in relative positioning while operating the engine, wherein the engine is an internal combustion engine and the high tension lead is a spark plug lead. Imai et al show everything except the wire being located in a channel in the core. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to employ a channel in the core in lieu of winding the wire about the core, since they both hold the wire in place effectively.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARGUERITE J. MCMAHON whose telephone number is (571)272-4848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 10am-6:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,828 Page 6

Art Unit: 3741

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cuff can be reached on 571-272-6778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marguerite McMahon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3741

/Marguerite McMahon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3741